

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places
Multiple Property Documentation Form

RECEIVED
DEC 1 1 1990

NATIONAL
REGISTER

This form is for use in documenting multiple property groups relating to one or several historic contexts. See instructions in *Guidelines for Completing National Register Forms* (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the requested information. For additional space use continuation sheets (Form 10-900-a). Type all entries.

A. Name of Multiple Property Listing

POPE AIR FORCE BASE EARLY EXPANSION MULTIPLE PROPERTY GROUP

B. Associated Historic Contexts

(1) Military Expansion of Pope AFB, 1933-1934

(2) Economic Recovery Through Federally Sponsored Public Works Programs,
1933-1934

C. Geographical Data

Pope Air Force Base, Fayetteville, North Carolina (Cumberland County)

See continuation sheet

D. Certification

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, I hereby certify that this documentation form meets the National Register documentation standards and sets forth requirements for the listing of related properties consistent with the National Register criteria. This submission meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60 and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Planning and Evaluation.

Signature of certifying official

NOV 21, 1990
Date

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
State or Federal agency and bureau

I, hereby, certify that this multiple property documentation form has been approved by the National Register as a basis for evaluating related properties for listing in the National Register.

Signature of the Keeper of the National Register

1/16/91
Date

E. Statement of Historic Contexts

Discuss each historic context listed in Section B.

The Pope Air Force Base Multiple Property group is organized as two contributing properties: a contiguous district composed of early housing and administrative cantonment buildings, and a single hangar building associated with early airborne operations at Pope Field. Property types identified include a Depression-era hangar (Bldg. 708), Old Family Housing units, and Depression-era administrative and barracks buildings (Bldgs. 300, 302, 306). All of these buildings are associated with the first period of military expansion at one of the earliest Air Force installations in the United States, and all were constructed using public moneys allocated by Congress under the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932 (Title III, Section 301), specifically to employ otherwise unemployed workers during the Great Depression (Armstrong 1976).

Pope Field was established in 1919 and presently contains runways, hangars, aircraft operations support, administrative support, housing, and community service facilities. No structures remain from the period 1919 - 1932; the present built environment consists of construction dating from 1933 to the mid-1980s. The resources included in the Pope AFB Early Expansion Multiple Property group are the only surviving buildings from the early growth period, 1933 - 1934 (Anon. 1983:2). Uninterrupted use of these Depression-era buildings has continued into the present. In addition, the original dirt airstrip was incorporated into the existing north-south runway, which was paved during World War II and expanded during the 1960s (Drucker 1985); the runway, taxiways, and aprons now separate Hangars 4 and 5 (Bldg. 708) from the remaining Depression-era buildings included in this nomination.

From its beginnings as a small airfield used for local aerial mapping, weather reporting, and mail service, Pope Field has contributed to the development of the American military forces. Initially, Pope Field contained a single dirt runway flanked by tents. During the 1920s, four wooden hangars were built. Graphic documentation of the base during the 1920s and early 1930s indicates that these small hangars were replaced by more permanent structures in 1934. A new cantonment was also completed by 1934 (Farnung 1985; Pope AFB Civil Engineering Files; Drucker 1985).

Today, Pope Air Force Base comprises a compact installation of 1,885 acres in Cumberland County, North Carolina. Virtually the entire reservation has undergone landscape modification associated with airlift and support operations, much of which was completed during the 1940s and early 1950s. Projects completed between 1940 and 1943, the second period of military expansion at Pope Field, include housing, shops, a hospital, and other support facilities. Most of these structures remain standing today. Much of the present cantonment was built after 1960. Approximately 70% of the buildings standing today were built between 1950 and 1982.

F. Associated Property Types

I. Name of Property Type Depression-Era Hangars 4/5 (Bldg. 708), Pope AFB

II. Description

See Section 7, NRHP Nomination Form for Hangars 4/5 (Bldg. 708), Pope AFB

III. Significance

Along with several administrative and residential cantonment structures on the opposite side of the airfield, Bldg. 708 reflects the Government's expansion of the physical installation at Pope Field during the early Depression years. Hangars 4 and 5 (Bldg. 708) commemorate this first period of military growth and reflect the architectural styles typical of military and aircraft hangar construction prior to 1950.

In addition to its historical context, Bldg. 708 contains the only example of bowstring truss construction at Pope AFB, and thereby documents the ubiquity and durability of this mode of construction at military airfields before 1950. Truss construction was the original type of roof support used in American airplane hangars, and was superseded by the compression strut truss (1950 - 1955) and the bar joist truss (late 1950s and early 1960s).

IV. Registration Requirements

Although several modifications have been made to the hangar building during the past 50 years, it still retains the core architectural and engineering components which define the basic elements of this nomination. The building therefore reflects a high degree of integrity.

Since no additional properties exist meeting the registration requirements of Depression-era buildings associated with the first period of military expansion at Pope Air Force Base, those documented in this nomination represent the only properties which could be included in a multiple property group.

See continuation sheet

See continuation sheet for additional property types

G. Summary of Identification and Evaluation Methods

Discuss the methods used in developing the multiple property listing.

The Pope AFB Early Expansion Multiple Property Group includes all buildings at Pope Air Force Base which were built in 1933 - 1934. This identification and assessment of historical and architectural contexts is based on a survey of the the installation which was conducted by Lesley M. Drucker of Carolina Archaeological Services, under contract with the National Park Service, on August 13 - 14, 1985. The purpose of the survey was to photodocument and evaluate the oldest surviving buildings at Pope Field according to their eligibility for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. In addition to the field observations, historical and documentary sources consulted during the research included serial maps and photographs, archival photographs, real property records, and engineering records. Both military and civilian informants were also consulted concerning aspects of the built environment at Pope Field, as well as changes in the twentieth century cultural landscape.

See continuation sheet

H. Major Bibliographical References

Anonymous

1983 Base Guide for Pope AFB, North Carolina. Ms. on file, 317th Tactical Airlift Wing, Pope Air Force Base.

Armstrong, Ellis L., editor

1976 History of Public Works of the United States: 1776-1976. American Public Works Association, Chicago.

Drucker, Lesley M.

1985 Architectural and Historical Documentation of the Original Cantonment Area and Hangars 4 and 5, Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina. Resource Studies Series 83. Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia.

Farnung, Leonard

1985 Personal Communication. Civil Engineering, Pope AFB, North Carolina.

Pope Air Force Base

n.d. Civil Engineering Files. Ms. on file, Pope AFB, North Carolina.

See continuation sheet

Primary location of additional documentation:

State historic preservation office

Other State agency

Federal agency

Local government

University

Other

Specify repository: Pope AFB, Fayetteville, NC

I. Form Prepared By

name/title Lesley Drucker, Senior Archaeologist; Susan Jackson, Projects Coordinator
organization Carolina Archaeological Services date 11/87
street & number 1612 Westminster Drive telephone 803/254-3996
city or town Columbia state SC zip code 29204

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places
Continuation Sheet

Section number F Page 1

(Depression-Era Hangars 4/5 - Significance continued)

Another noteworthy engineering feature associated with Bldg. 708 is the use of hollow tile masonry, which forms the core of other Depression-era buildings on the base as well. This structural core is unique to pre-1950 construction in the southeastern United States, where it allowed interior walls to expand and breathe under conditions of high humidity.

CHIEFTAIN BOND

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places
Continuation Sheet

Section number F Page 2

I. Name of Property Type - Old Family Housing Units, Pope AFB

II. Description

See Section 7, NRHP Nomination form for Pope AFB Historic District.

III. Significance

The homes and garages associated with the Old Family Housing units at Pope AFB were built between 1933 and 1934, and represent some of the oldest standing buildings at the installation. These units were built during a period of initial economic recovery from the Great Depression, using dollar allocations from the only pre-Roosevelt era Government program designed to put the country's population back to work. Of a total of \$300 million appropriated by Congress under the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932, \$224,000 was spent at Pope Field to construct officers' housing. The 1933-1934 housing at Pope AFB also represents construction undertaken during the first major expansion of the military facilities at Pope Field, which has played a leading role in the development of American air power.

The Pope AFB Old Family Housing units display an early twentieth century application of more or less standard Federal construction designs and floor plans, adapted to a specific, south Atlantic seaboard environment and usage. Federal buildings during this period were stylistically restricted to classical and pseudo-classical designs which were easy to recognize and adapt for a variety of public uses. A broad range of architects found it easier to compose and detail buildings within this general idiom, and contracting for efficient use of space and materials was also made easier through repetitive use of basic designs and plans throughout various levels of Federal government.

IV. Registration Requirements

Although the homes and garages in the Old Family Housing units have undergone architectural modification during the past 50 years, they still retain the core architectural and engineering components which define the basic elements of this nomination. These buildings therefore reflect a high degree of integrity.

Since no additional properties exist meeting the registration requirements of Depression-era buildings associated with the first period of military expansion at Pope Air Force Base, those documented in this nomination represent the only properties which could be included in a multiple properties group.

United States Department of the Interior
National Park ServiceNational Register of Historic Places
Continuation SheetSection number F Page 3

I. Name of Property Type - Depression-Era Administrative and Barracks Buildings, Pope Air Force Base

II. Description

See Section 7, NRHP Nomination form for Pope AFB Historic District.

III. Significance

The barracks and administrative buildings associated with the first cantonment area at Pope Field were built between 1933 and 1934, and represent some of the oldest standing buildings at the installation. These units were built during a period of initial economic recovery from the Great Depression, using allocations from the only pre-Roosevelt era Federal program designed to put the country's population back to work. Of a total of \$300 million appropriated by Congress under the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932, \$140,000 was spent at Pope Field to construct Flemming Hall and the other two administrative buildings.

The 1933-1934 cantonment at Pope AFB also represents construction undertaken during the first major expansion of the military facilities. Pope Field has played a leading role in the development of American air power.

The Pope AFB administrative and barracks buildings display an early twentieth century application of more or less standard Federal construction designs and floor plans, adapted to a specific, south Atlantic seaboard environment and usage. Flemming Hall (Bldg. 306) is typical of a basic palladian design which characterized public construction from the early to middle twentieth century. Large palladian buildings designed for public use display formal symmetry, imposing entries, and balanced flanking elements. Smaller buildings, such as the fire station (Bldg. 300) and the old medical dispensary (Bldg. 302) are characterized by utilitarian, individualized adaptations of these major design elements. Federal buildings during this period were stylistically restricted to classical and pseudo-classical designs which were easy to recognize and adapt for a variety of public uses. A broad range of architects found it easier to compose and detail buildings within this general idiom, and contracting for efficient use of space and materials was also made easier through repetitive use of basic designs and plans throughout various levels of Federal government.

**United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service**

**National Register of Historic Places
Continuation Sheet**

Section number F Page 4

IV. Registration Requirements

Although the administrative and barracks buildings have undergone architectural modification over the past 50 years, they retain the core architectural and engineering components which define the basic elements of this nomination. These buildings therefore reflect a high degree of integrity.

Since no additional properties exist meeting the registration requirements of Depression-era buildings associated with the first period of military expansion at Pope Air Force Base, those documented in this nomination represent the only properties which could be included in a multiple properties group.

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places
Continuation Sheet

Section number Photographs Page 1

- Figure 1. Building 306, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Photographer Unknown
Late 1930s
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Maynard Street, Pope AFB, Late 1930s, showing Bldg. 306,
Bldg. 302, Bldg. 300. View to the northwest.
Fig. 1
- Figure 2. Building 306, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Lesley Drucker
August 14, 1985
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Rear elevation of Bldg. 306. View to the south.
Fig. 2
- Figure 3. Building 306, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front elevation of Bldg. 306. View to the northeast.
Fig. 3
- Figure 4. Building 300, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front and side elevations of Bldg. 300. View to the northeast.
Fig. 4
- Figure 5. Building 300, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, South Carolina
Rear elevation of Bldg. 300, showing addition. View to
the south.
Fig. 5
- Figure 6. Building 302, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Rear elevation of Bldg. 302. View to the south.
Fig. 6

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places
Continuation Sheet

Section number Photographs Page 2

- Figure 7. Building 302, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front and side elevations of Bldg. 302. View to the northwest.
Fig. 7
- Figure 8. Building 336, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front elevation of Bldg. 336. View to the northwest.
Fig. 8
- Figure 9. Building 336, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Side and back elevations of Bldg. 336. View to the southeast.
Fig. 9
- Figure 10. Building 218, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front elevation of Bldg. 218. View to the east.
Fig. 10
- Figure 11. Building 218, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archseological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Rear elevation of Bldg. 218. View to the northwest.
Fig. 11
- Figure 12. Building 217, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front elevation of Bldg. 217. View to the east.
Fig. 12

**United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service**

**National Register of Historic Places
Continuation Sheet**

Section number Photographs Page 3

- Figure 13. Building 325, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 16, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front elevation of Bldg. 325. View to the southeast.
Fig. 13
- Figure 14. Building 325, Pope AFB Historic District
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
September 18, 1986
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Rear elevation of Bldg. 325. View to the north
Fig. 14
- Figure 15. Hangars 4 and 5, Pope AFB
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
Date Unknown
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front elevation of Hangars 4/5. View to the north
- Figure 16. Hangars 4 and 5, Pope AFB
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Pope AFB Audio/Visual Department
Date Unknown
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Detail of metal bowstring truss construction in Hangar 4
- Figure 17. Hangars 4 and 5, Pope AFB
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Lesley Drucker
August 14, 1985
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Rear and side elevations of Hangar 5, showing repeat sliding
doors and structural addition to west side of building.
View to the southeast
- Figure 18. Hangars 4 and 5, Pope AFB
Fayetteville, North Carolina
Photographer Unknown
Late 1930s
Carolina Archaeological Services, Columbia, South Carolina
Front elevation of Hangars 4 and 5. View to the northwest

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Pope Air Force Base Early Expansion
Multiple Property Group
Cumberland County
NORTH CAROLINA

Substantive Review

Working No. MAR 7 1988
Fed. Reg. Date: _____
Date Due: 4/21/88
Action: ACCEPT
 RETURN 4-21-88
 REJECT
Federal Agency: _____

- resubmission
- nomination by person or local government
- owner objection
- appeal

Substantive Review: sample request appeal NR decision

Reviewer's comments:

Cover form identifies key components of expansion (see attached)

Recom./Criteria Return (pre-review)
Reviewer Aschlaegel
Discipline Arch Hist
Date 4/21/88
_____ see continuation sheet

Nomination returned for: _____ technical corrections cited below
_____ substantive reasons discussed below

1. Name

2. Location

3. Classification

Category	Ownership	Status	Present Use
	Public Acquisition	Accessible	

4. Owner of Property

5. Location of Legal Description

6. Representation in Existing Surveys

Has this property been determined eligible? yes no

7. Description

Condition		Check one	Check one
<input type="checkbox"/> excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> deteriorated	<input type="checkbox"/> unaltered	<input type="checkbox"/> original site
<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> ruins	<input type="checkbox"/> altered	<input type="checkbox"/> moved date _____
<input type="checkbox"/> fair	<input type="checkbox"/> unexposed		

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- alterations/integrity
- dates
- boundary selection

8. Significance

Period _____ Areas of Significance—Check and justify below

Specific dates _____ Builder/Architect _____

Statement of Significance *(in one paragraph)*

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- applicable criteria
- justification of areas checked
- relating significance to the resource
- context
- relationship of integrity to significance
- justification of exception
- other

9. Major Bibliographical References

10. Geographical Data

Acreage of nominated property _____

Quadrangle name _____

UTM References _____

Verbal boundary description and justification _____

11. Form Prepared By

12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification

The evaluated significance of this property within the state is:

____ national ____ state ____ local

State Historic Preservation Officer signature

title _____ date _____

13. Other

- Maps
- Photographs
- Other

Questions concerning this nomination may be directed to _____

Signed Amy Schlager Date 4/21/88 Phone: _____

Reviewer's Comments

We commend the nomination preparer for this excellent nomination package, prepared and organized in accordance with the full aspects of the new multiple property form. We have two following points which should be addressed in the final submission:

The nomination documents the significance of Pope Air Force Base during the earliest period, 1933-1934, for which there are tangible remains. The two individual properties proposed for nomination are then documented on the attached individual forms as tangible remains of this period. These individual forms do not include any level of significance, however, and it is not totally clear from the overview what level, local, state, or national, is being claimed. There are several statements indicating that Pope was one of the earliest Air Force installations in the United States, however. *Of you choose nat'l signif?* Are the properties nationally significant? What are the other bases which retain buildings from this early period and how do they compare to Pope?

Secondly, we suggest a brief assessment of the form section addressing registration requirements to specifically identify that all properties under nomination have a high degree of integrity. It is certainly acceptable to identify the specifics of what constitutes integrity on the individual forms, as is done here. If that assessment is complete, then the property type section on the cover form should simply summarize that statement.

Please make sure boundaries are clear on the maps to be submitted.

Amy Schlagel 4/21/88

*Follow-up - problem because of context - How to do?
discussed with consultant, Susan Jackson
on 6/23/88
ASchlagel*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Pope Air Force Base Early Expansion
MPS
Cumberland County
NORTH CAROLINA

Working No. 1/31/90

Fed. Reg. Date: _____

Date Due: 3/17/90

Action: ACCEPT

RETURN 3/16/90

REJECT

Federal Agency: USAF

- resubmission
- nomination by person or local government
- owner objection
- appeal

Substantive Review: sample request appeal NR decision

Reviewer's comments:

See attached

Recom./Criteria: Return

Reviewer: Fredman

Discipline: Arch Hist

Date: 3/16/90

_____ see continuation sheet

Nomination returned for: technical corrections cited below
 substantive reasons discussed below

1. Name

2. Location

3. Classification

Category	Ownership	Status	Present Use
	Public Acquisition	Accessible	

4. Owner of Property

5. Location of Legal Description

6. Representation in Existing Surveys

Has this property been determined eligible? yes no

7. Description

Condition

- excellent
- good
- fair
- deteriorated
- ruins
- unexposed

Check one

- unaltered
- altered

Check one

- original site
- moved date _____

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- alterations/integrity
- dates
- boundary selection

8. Significance

Period Areas of Significance—Check and justify below

Specific dates Builder/Architect
Statement of Significance (*in one paragraph*)

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- applicable criteria
- justification of areas checked
- relating significance to the resource
- context
- relationship of integrity to significance
- justification of exception
- other

9. Major Bibliographical References

10. Geographical Data

Acreage of nominated property _____

Quadrangle name _____

UTM References _____

Verbal boundary description and justification _____

11. Form Prepared By

12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification

The evaluated significance of this property within the state is:

____ national ____ state ____ local

State Historic Preservation Officer signature _____

title date

13. Other

- Maps
- Photographs
- Other

Questions concerning this nomination may be directed to _____

Signed A Federman Date 2/16/96 Phone: _____

Reviewer's Comments

This multiple property form presents a comprehensive history of Pope Air Force Base from its founding through its later development. It focuses on the earliest period, 1933-1934, for which there are tangible remains. There are two individual forms for two properties developed during that period. The nomination does include additional documentation on later periods of growth at Pope in both the cover document and the individual forms.

There are several technical issues which need to be addressed in order for the nomination to be processed. First, the individual forms do not include any level of significance. The combined documents contain information on the role of Pope AFB during the 1930s and beyond, particularly during World War II in a national framework. There are several statements indicating that Pope AFB was one of the earliest Air Force installations in the United States, and it may have had national significance as a training base during World War II. We would assume, therefore, that you are thinking of this property as nationally significant, and should therefore check national.

It appears that the period of significance for Pope AFB and for the two properties under nomination, as well as others on the base, could easily be extended through 1945 to include the contributions of Pope AFB to our national defense during World War II. Later growth at Pope AFB needs to be assessed in the context of other post-war developments across the country (if the national level of significance is to be continued; otherwise within a state or local context).

Finally, the nominations have Criterion B checked, although there is justification for Criterion C. We presume that since no major figure is mentioned (as required for Criterion B), that this was a typographical error and will be easily corrected.

(Please note: cannot have correction fluid on top of nomination form)

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places
Continuation Sheet

Section number _____ Page _____

Pope Air Force Base Early Expansion MPS Cumberland County, NC

~~Substantive Review~~

Date Listed

Accept Amy Federman 1/6/91

COVER

1. Hangers 4 and 5, Pope Air Force Base ~~Substantive Review~~

Amy Federman 1/6/91

2. Pope Air Force Base Historic District
~~Substantive Review~~

Patrick Andrews 1/25/91

1990

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number _____ Page _____

SUPPLEMENTARY LISTING RECORD

NRIS Reference Number: 90002152

Date Listed: 1/25/91

<u>Pope Air Force Base Historic District</u> Property Name	Cumberland County	NC State
---	----------------------	-------------

Pope Air Force Base Early Expansion MPS
Multiple Name

This property is listed in the National Register of Historic Places in accordance with the attached nomination documentation subject to the following exceptions, exclusions, or amendments, notwithstanding the National Park Service certification included in the nomination documentation.

Patrick W. Andrus
Signature of the Keeper

1/28/91
Date of Action

=====
Amended Items in Nomination:

Item #8: Significance:

It was clear that the level of significance was national, but that the appropriate box had not been checked through oversight. In addition, Criterion B was checked instead of Criterion C (for architecture).

After talking with the Air Force FPO, the changes were made: national significance and Criteria A and C were checked.

DISTRIBUTION:

- National Register property file
- Nominating Authority (without nomination attachment)

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Pope Air Force Base Early Expansion
MPS (COVER)

Cumberland County
NORTH CAROLINA

Working No. 12/11/90
Fed. Reg. Date: _____
Date Due: 1/20/91 - 1/25/91
Action: ACCEPT 1/16/91
 RETURN _____
 REJECT _____
Federal Agency: USAF

- resubmission
- nomination by person or local government
- owner objection
- appeal

Substantive Review: sample request appeal NR decision

Reviewer's comments:

Recom./Criteria Accept
Reviewer Federman
Discipline Arch Hist
Date 1/16/91
_____ see continuation sheet

Nomination returned for: _____ technical corrections cited below
_____ substantive reasons discussed below

1. Name _____

2. Location _____

3. Classification	Ownership	Status	Present Use
	Public Acquisition	Accessible	
Category			

4. Owner of Property _____

5. Location of Legal Description _____

6. Representation in Existing Surveys
Has this property been determined eligible? yes no

7. Description



Condition	Check one	Check one
<input type="checkbox"/> excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> deteriorated	<input type="checkbox"/> original site
<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> ruins	<input type="checkbox"/> moved date _____
<input type="checkbox"/> fair	<input type="checkbox"/> unexposed	
	<input type="checkbox"/> unaltered	
	<input type="checkbox"/> altered	

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- alterations/integrity
- dates
- boundary selection



Mapped by the Army Map Service
Published for civil use by the Geological Survey
Control by USGS, USC&GS, and USCE
Topography from aerial photographs by photogrammetric methods
Aerial photographs taken 1946-1947. Planimetric detail revised
from aerial photographs taken 1955. Field check 1957
Polyconic projection. 1927 North American datum
10,000-foot grid based on North Carolina coordinate system
1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks,
zone 17, shown in blue



ROAD CLASSIFICATION

Heavy-duty	Light-duty
Medium-duty	Unimproved dirt
State Route	



THIS MAP COMPLIES WITH NATIONAL MAP ACCURACY STANDARDS
FOR SALE BY U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, WASHINGTON, D. C. 20242
A FOLDER DESCRIBING TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS AND SYMBOLS IS AVAILABLE ON REQUEST

Revisions shown in purple compiled from aerial
photographs taken 1971. This information not
field checked

OVERHILLS, N. C.
NE 1/4 CLIFDALE 15' QUADRANGLE
N 3507.5 - W 7900/7.5
1957
PHOTOREVISED 1971
AMS 5154 II NE-SERIES V842

Handwritten notes: 17/650/830, 38/94/380

Pope Air Force Base
Historic District

Handwritten note: Cumberland NC

2 Mar 88

Amy :

Attached is a
copy of the draft
nomination forms for
your informal review.

Thank you for
taking time to re-
view them for us.

Omega

317 CSG/DEEV

Pope AFB, NC 28308-5095



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA 68113-5001



7 DEC 1989

REPLY TO
ATTN. OF: DEV

SUBJECT: Nomination of Margeson Estate, Pease AFB

TO: HQ USAF/LEEV

1. Nomination materials for the Margeson Estate are forwarded to your office for concurrence and submittal to the Keeper of the National Register. The nomination was prepared by the Corps of Engineers, Omaha, and has been reviewed by the New Hampshire Division of Historical Places. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) has recommended completion of the nomination process. We are proud to make this addition to the National Register.

2. Because this nomination is associated with the base closure activities, all actions concerning this nomination should be coordinated with HQ USAF/LEEI.

DAVID A. HENNEY, P.E.
Deputy Director, Environmental Management
DCS/Engineering and Services

- 2 Atch
1. New Hampshire SHPO Ltr,
9 Nov 89
2. Nomination Package

cc: HQ USAF/LEER/LEEI
509 CSG/DEER wo Atch



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS MILITARY AIRLIFT COMMAND
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS 62225-5001



14 AUG 1987

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: DEV (Ms Geil, AUTOVON 576-5763)

SUBJECT: Pope AFB Nomination to the National Register


TO: HQ USAF/LEEV

1. We are resubmitting the Pope AFB nomination to the National Register of Historic Places. Correction of Criterion B to Criterion C and entry of national significance are accomplished.

2. After consultation with the Southeast Region National Park Service (NPS) and the North Carolina State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), it was agreed that they did not feel it was necessary to extend the period of significance. Though Ms Amy Federman, the NPS reviewer, is on vacation, Ms Kathryn Boonin agreed that the extension was a suggestion and not necessary for the nomination. As the contract let to write the nomination has been closed out and the contract firm is defunct, we are resubmitting the nomination with the original period of significance.

3. Our POC is Ms Geil.

FOR THE COMMANDER IN CHIEF


MARKUS K. STRAUME, Colonel, USAF
Dir, Environmental Management
DCS/Engineering and Services

2 Atch

- ~~1. Nomination~~
- 2. Background

NOT INCL.